

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/917,578	07/28/2001	Arnold E. Goldman	GCD 98-55-US	1058
. 7	590 08/28/2003		•	
Lewis B. Sternfels			EXAMINER	
3100 Inglewoo Los Angeles, C	d Boulevard CA 90066-1062		CHOI, WILLIAM C	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
		DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	nination Advisory Action	Par	t of Paper No. 12			
cc: Requester (if third party requester) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
_						
January Control of the Control of th						
10. Other:						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on a)[☐ has b)☐ has not been approv	ed by the Exami	ner.			
Claim(s) not subject to reexamination:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14-17 and 19-21</u>						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) patentable and/or confirmed: <u>13 and 18</u>						
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
7. ⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an						
newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection						
6. The affidavit/declaration or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit/declaration, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT overcome the rejection(s) because: See Continuation Sheet.						
amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
4. The proposed new or amended claim(s) 13 and 18 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed						
3. Patent owner's proposed response filed has overcome the following rejection(s):						
NOTE:						
the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the proceeding in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying 						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
1. Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within the extended period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
(Extensions of time are governed by 37 CFR 1.550(c))						
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN 3 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE FINAL REJECTION.						
the patent owner is taken to overcome all of the outstanding rejection(s), this <i>ex parte</i> reexamination proceeding WILL BE TERMINATED and a Notice of Intent to Issue <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination Certificate will be mailed in due course. Any finally rejected claims, or claims objected to, will be CANCELLED.						
THE PROPOSED RESPONSE FILED <u>06 August 2003</u> FAILS TO OVERCOME ALL OF THE REJECTIONS IN THE FINAL REJECTION MAILED <u>06 May 2003</u> . Therefore, unless a timely appeal is filed, or other appropriate action by						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ldress			
	Examiner William C. Choi	Art Unit 2873				
Ex Parte Reexamination Advisory Action	09/917,578	GOLDMAN ET A	\L.			
	Control Number	Patent Under R				

Continuation of 5. But does not overcome the rejection(s) because: applicant argues with regard to claims 1-12, 14-17 and 19-21, that "ferrule 37 alone or combined with ferrule support member 57, or ferrule 72 are not an adhesive". Ferrule 37 and support member 57 refer to the third embodiment of Fujihara et al whereas the claim was rejected using the fifth embodiment of Fujihara et al. In regards to the argument set forth above, Fujihara et al discloses adhesive attaching the end portion of the optical fiber 64 to the ferrule 72 (column 7, lines 60-62). Furthermore, the adhesive would inherently be "symmetrically shaped" within the cavity 74, due to the cavity's symmetry (column 7, lines 50-52). Furthermore, in regards to applicant's argument regarding the adhesive not being able to be termed, "symmetrically shaped" unless resort is made to the present invention," applicant is reminded that said terminology is merely a limitation set forth within the claim that needs to be and is met by the Fujihara et al reference.

Georgia Epps

Jpervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800